

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,981	07/14/2003	James P. Baukus	B-3947DIV 621039-4 7810		
36716	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER		
LADAS & P	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE			
5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100 LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2012		

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. Michael Lebenterit					()				
Examiner Walter L Lindsay, Jr. 2812 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address 1. A The amendment filed on 12 October 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) entered. b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEBENTRITT MICHAEL LEBENTRITT	Response to Rule 312 Communication		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Walter L Lindsay, Jr. 2812 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address 1. ☑ The amendment filed on 12 October 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☑ entered. b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEGENTRITT			10/619,981	BAUKUS ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— The amendment filed on 12 October 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☑ entered. b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEGENTRITT MICHAEL LEGENTRITT			Examiner	Art Unit					
1. ☑ The amendment filed on 12 October 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☑ entered. b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase. "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEBENTRITT MICHAEL LEBENTRITT			Walter L. Lindsay, Jr.	2812					
1. ☑ The amendment filed on 12 October 2004 under 37 CFR 1.312 has been considered, and has been: a) ☑ entered. b) ☐ entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) ☐ disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) ☐ disapproved. See explanation below. e) ☐ entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase. "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEBENTRITT MICHAEL LEBENTRITT	The N	IAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ac	ddress –				
a) \(\text{animer} \) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. \(\) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) \(\) disapproved. See explanation below. e) \(\) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEGENTRITT				•					
a) \(\text{animer} \) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. \(\) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) \(\) disapproved. See explanation below. e) \(\) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEGENTRITT		,							
b) entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention. c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEGENTRITT	1. 🛛 The amendme	ent filed on <u>12 October 2004</u> under 37 CFR	R 1.312 has been considered, and has	s been:					
c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee. Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase. "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEBENTRITT	a) 🔯 entered.								
Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c) and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEGENTRITT	b) 🔲 entered	entered as directed to matters of form not affecting the scope of the invention.							
and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue. d) disapproved. See explanation below. e) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. Michael Lebenterit	c) disapproved because the amendment was filed after the payment of the issue fee.								
d) disapproved. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. Michael Lebentriit	Any amendment filed after the date the issue fee is paid must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(1)								
e) entered in part. See explanation below. Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. Amount of the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted.	and the required fee to withdraw the application from issue.								
Examiner also notes that the repetitive language found in the Notice of Allowance is a typographical error on the part of the examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEBENTRITT	d) 🗌 disappro	d) disapproved. See explanation below.							
examiner. The repeated language consist of the phrase: "Said second buried silicon region of the second conductivity type" in the explanation of claim 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. Solution 1. Replacement drawing to replace informal drawing of Figure 8 is accepted. MICHAEL LEBENTRITT	e) 🔲 entered in part. See explanation below.								
MICHAEL LEBENTRITT	examiner. The	repeated language consist of the phrase: '	"Said second buried silicon region of a	the second conductiv	rt of the vity type"				
SUPERVISORY PAIENT EXAMINER			MICHAEL LEB SUPERVISORY PATE	ENTRITT ENT EXAMINER					